



Review of a completed Decommissioning Project :

The Eurochemic Reprocessing Plant in Belgium

Bart Ooms and Robert Walthéry November 10 2015

Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Eurochemic's history
- 3. Decommssioning strategy
- 4. Applied techniques
- 5. Safety aspects
- 6. Material management
- 7. Planning & costs
- 8. Conclusions





Eurochemic



•181 t of natural and slightly enriched uranium fuels (<4,5% initial U235-enrichment) from various experimental and power reactors

•31 t of high enriched uranium fuels from testing reactors

677 kg Pu

1363 kg U

Rehabilitation of Eurochemic

- 'Rinsing' of the installation (ALARA)
- Radiological status in 1981
 - Surface Contamination levels up to a few 100 Bq/cm² in alfa and bèta-gamma
 - Contamination in depth
 - Hot spots up to a few 10's mSv/h => hands-on
 - No activation

Pilot Project

Emphasis on decontamination of:

- Metal components
- Concrete structures

Unique strategy

- Avoid any spread of contamination
- Far reaching decontamination in view of unconditional release
- Minimal quantities of radwaste
- Optimization of recycling and exhaust opportunities for reuse of valuable components

Rehabilitation of Eurochemic

- Relevant data :
 - Length 90 m, width 27 and heigth 27 m
 - Volume: 56.000 m³
 - Concrete volume : 12.500 m³
 - Concrete surface : 55.000 m²
 - Metal: 1.500 ton
- 7 floors, 40 large cells
- 106 cel structures

Far-reaching decontamination

New technologies, ergonomic tools

Decommissioning strategy

Far-reaching decontamination

METAALSTRAALINSTALLATIE ABRASIVE BLASTING INSTALLATION

LAADEENHEID VAN DE STRAALINSTALLATIE CHARGING DEVICE OF THE BLASTING INSTALLATION

CLOSED BLASTING CHAMBER

GEOPENDE STRAALTROMMEL MET TE STRALEN METAAL OPENED BLASTING CHAMBER WITH METALS TO BE DECONTAMINATEED

BEDIENING VAN DE STRAALINSTALLATIE OPERATION OF THE BLASTING INSTALLATION

BLOBAAL BEELD VAN DE STRAALINSTALLATIE OVERVIEW OF THE BLASTING INSTALLATION

ONTLADEN VAN DE STRAALTROMMEL DISCHARGE OF THE BLASTING CHAMBER

GESTRAALD METAAL BLASTEDMETAL

Dismantling

Demolition

- Focus on accelerated risk reduction
- Safety of our in house staff and our contractors is and has always been a top priority

Safety first!

- Dose rates (average < 2 mSv/year.person over 1990-2013)
- Contamination risks (Protective clothing, silicon mask)
- Conventional safety
 - Circumstances comparable to construction industry
 - Hand-arm vibrations

Radwaste management

Results

- Production rates
- Planning (man.years)
- Budget & costs

Production rates for concrete

Demolition included

Production rates for metal

Demolition included

Results: man.year

- Initial estimation: 400 man.year
- Final result: 570 man.year
- Why? :
 - Inventory differences
 - Decontamination in view of free release
 - Labour intensive release measurements and stringent release procedures

Results: budget, costs

1990 - 2014Initial estimation Ratio **M€**1992 **M€**2013 **M€**2013 2,01 **Decom.** Costs 54,80 82,56 166,18 0,43 103,45 Radwaste 68,70 44,12 **Costs (2008)** 1,13 123,50 210,30 Total 186,01 Smaller radwaste quantities

Far-reaching decon in view of release

End result remains nearly status quo!

- Belgoprocess has mastered the complex work of decommissioning a reprocessing plant within stringent safety procedures and rules;
- During 25 years a lot of knowledge and experience has been acquired in the areas of technology, planning, budget ans human dynamics;
- Excellent results were obtained due to an unique applied strategy with emphasis on clearance.

THANK YOU FOR LISTENING

